The Ozone Hole

Introduction

The ozone layer is important for human living in the earth, because it can absorbs most of the Sun's UV radiation and lower the temperature. Therefore, we live in a mild world. The weather in our earth would not be cooler than Mars and warmer than Mercury, so we have diversified creatures and beautiful scenery.

But, some scientists found out that our ozone layer did not completely cover our planet, especially on the air of Antarctic circle. It was called ozone hole. If the situation is getting worse, it may damage our beautiful world. So, I am interested in this measurement of ozone concentration in my country's sky.

Data collection

I obtained the ozone concentration from the website.

http://opendata.cwb.gov.tw/observe/dataset/A0006-002.htm

The unit of ozone concentration is Dobson Unit. The scientist, G. M. B. Dobson ,who developed a simple <u>spectrophotometer</u> (the <u>Dobsonmeter</u>) that could be used to measure stratospheric ozone from the ground. Between 1928 and 1958 Dobson established a worldwide network of ozone monitoring stations, which continue to operate to this day.





Analysis and Model

First, I compute the autocorrelation (ACF), and the following graph shows the ACF versus the lag.





AR(1)						
Regression	statistics					
R multiple	0.787280334					
R square	0.619810325					
adjusted R square	0.608628275					
Standard Error	11.64665059					
Observations	36					
ANOVA						
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	1	7518.643577	7518.643577	55.42904608	1.22959E-08	
residual	34	4611.911979	135.64447			
total	35	12130.55556				
	Coefficient	Standard Error	t statistics	P-value	lower 95% ı	upper 95%
intercept	56.54320327	28.55040907	1.980469111	0.055788644	-1.478208471	114.564615
X variable 1	0.790151592	0.106130868	7.445068575	1.22959E-08	0.574467719	1.005835465
Δ Φ (2)						
AR(2)						
Regression	statistics					
R multiple	0.884112736					
R square	0.781655329					
adjusted R square	0.768422319					
Standard Error	8.95889969					
Observations	36					
ANOVA						
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	2	9481.913395	4740.956697	59.0685949	97 1.24696E-11	
residual	33	2648.642161	80.26188366			
total	35	12130.55556				
	Coefficient	Standard Error	t statistics	P-value	lower 95%	upper 95%
intercept	93.23766762	23.18108884	4.022143578	0.00031594	46.075388	140.39995
X variable 1	1.316095861	0.134065111	9.816840881	2.56678E-1	1 1.043338343	1.5888534
X variable 2	-0.66273411	0.133999755	-4.945786002	2.16397E-0	-0.935358659	-0.3901096

AR(3)						
Regression	statistics					
R multiple	0.905703346					
R square	0.820298552					
adjusted R square	0.803451541					
Standard Error	8.253556815					
Observations	36					
ANOVA						
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	3	9950.677153	3316.892384	48.691044	4.97818E-12	
residual	32	2179.878403	68.12120009			
total	35	12130.55556				
		a. 1 1 E		D 1	1 057	
	Coefficient	Standard Error	t statistics	P-value	lower 95%	upper 95%
intercept	130.3098059	25.6086014	5.08851709	1.536E-05	78.14679204	182.4728197
X variable 1	1.047323483	0.160475886	6.526360474	2.386E-07	0.7204448	1.374202165
X variable 2	-0.121836211	0.240325802	-0.506962672	0.6156569	-0.611363847	0.367691426
X variable 3	-0.410580428	0.15651731	-2.623226968	0.0132317	-0.729395754	-0.091765102

Here I only observed the last three years data. And I try to use further data (six years ago) to find out whether the estimate is getting better or not. And I compute the AR(1), AR(2), AR(3) with the six year data.

AR(1)						
Regression s	statistics					
R multiple	0.793411534					
R square	0.629501863					
adjusted R square	0.624209032					
Standard Error	11.05986203					
Observations	72					
ANOVA						
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	1	14548.173	14548.173	118.93482	9.60219E-17	
residual	70	8562.4384	122.32055			
total	71	23110.611				
	Coefficient	tandard Erro	t statistics	P-value	lower 95%	upper 95%
intercept	55.44400177	19.65838	2.8203749	0.0062354	16.23660016	94.651403
X variable 1	0.794328616	0.0728359	10.905724	9.602E-17	0.649061929	0.9395953
1						

AR(2)						
Regression	statistics					
R multiple	0.877766744					
R square	0.770474456					
adjusted R square	0.763821542					
Standard Error	8.767922086					
Observations	72					
ANOVA						
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	2	17806.13553	8903.067765	115.8100676	8.88526E-23	
residual	69	5304.475582	76.87645771			
total	71	23110.61111				
	Coefficient	Standard Error	t statistics	P-value	lower 95%	upper 95%
intercept	88.90707582	16.41040767	5.417724995	8.30718E-07	56.16920869	121.64494
X variable 1	1.269822564	0.093108464	13.63810028	2.89736E-21	1.084076264	1.4555689
X variable 2	-0.600246154	0.092204689	-6.509930889	1.01593E-08	-0.784189473	-0.4163028

AR(3)						
Regression	statistics					
R multiple	0.902668548					
R square	0.814810507					
adjusted R square	0.806640382					
Standard Error	7.933401431					
Observations	72					
ANOVA						
	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F	
Regression	df 3	SS 18830.769	MS 6276.9229	F 99.73048588	Significance F 7.56529E-25	
Regression residual	df 3 68	SS 18830.769 4279.8424	MS 6276.9229 62.938858	F 99.73048588	Significance F 7.56529E-25	
Regression residual total	df 3 68 71	SS 18830.769 4279.8424 23110.611	MS 6276.9229 62.938858	F 99.73048588	Significance F 7.56529E-25	
Regression residual total	df 3 68 71	SS 18830.769 4279.8424 23110.611	MS 6276.9229 62.938858	F 99.73048588	Significance F 7.56529E-25	
Regression residual total	df 3 68 71 Coefficient	SS 18830.769 4279.8424 23110.611 tandard Erro	MS 6276.9229 62.938858 t statistics	F 99.73048588 P-value	Significance F 7.56529E-25 lower 95%	upper 95%
Regression residual total intercept	df 3 68 71 Coefficient 127.8495526	SS 18830.769 4279.8424 23110.611 tandard Erro 17.70962	MS 6276.9229 62.938858 t statistics 7.219215	F 99.73048588 P-value 5.70671E-10	Significance F 7.56529E-25 lower 95% 92.51055688	upper 95% 163.1885484
Regression residual total intercept X variable 1	df 3 68 71 Coefficient 127.8495526 1.001454151	SS 18830.769 4279.8424 23110.611 tandard Erro 17.70962 0.107338	MS 6276.9229 62.938858 t statistics 7.219215 9.3299091	F 99.73048588 P-value 5.70671E-10 8.59509E-14	Significance F 7.56529E-25 lower 95% 92.51055688 0.78726443	upper 95% 163.1885484 1.215643873
Regression residual total intercept X variable 1 X variable 2	df 3 68 71 Coefficient 127.8495526 1.001454151 -0.048550801	SS 18830.769 4279.8424 23110.611 tandard Erro 17.70962 0.107338 0.1601761	MS 6276.9229 62.938858 t statistics 7.219215 9.3299091 -0.303109	F 99.73048588 P-value 5.70671E-10 8.59509E-14 0.762732212	Significance F 7.56529E-25 lower 95% 92.51055688 0.78726443 -0.368177168	upper 95% 163.1885484 1.215643873 0.271075566

In my opinion, it did not have significant difference between the three year data and the six year data because their R square is similar.

Conclusion

The AR(3):

Y(t)=127.8495526+1.001454151*Y(t-1)-0.48550801*Y(t-2)-0.428137426*Y(t-3) is adopted because of the best R square. I put the compared graph below.

NAME: Yu-Pei Sun

